

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/244,190	02/04/1999	KUNIAKI KOGA	12407	3452	
75	90 06/19/2002				
PAUL J ESATTO JR SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA			EXAMINER		
			ZIMMERMAN, BRIAN A		
GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2635		
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2002	DATE MAILED: 06/19/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Del

•.			. h				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/244,190	KOGA, KUNIAKI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Brian A Zimmerman	2635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE M Extensi after SI - If the pe - If NO p - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	A <u>pril 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Thi	is action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
· _	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) L C	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
	•	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s							
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trad	emark Office						

PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Application/Control Number: 09/244,190

Art Unit: 2635

## **EXAMINER'S RESPONSE**

Status of Application

In response to the applicant's amendment received on 4/11/02. The examiner has considered the new presentation of claims and applicant arguments in view of the disclosure and the present state of the prior art. And it is the examiner's position that claims 1-18 remain unpatentable for the reasons set forth in this office action:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

1. Claims 1,2,10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bennett (4965569) and either Sone (5793304), Burgan (6166621) or McLaughlin (4975694).

Bennett shows a pager that present previously received messages. If a new message is received during the presenting of previously received messages the presenting is temporarily stopped and a new message is then presented. See figures 9A, 9B, 10A, 10B and their descriptions especially, col. 17 lines 29-36, lines 60-63 and elements 356 and 364 of figure 12A. Bennett differs from the claimed invention in that the presentation of the messages in Bennett is audible, while the presentation of the messages in the claimed invention is by display. One of ordinary skill in the art of

Application/Control Number: 09/244,190

Art Unit: 2635

pagers is well aware that pagers can use displays to display the stored page information equally as well as the audible storage and playback of Bennett.

In an analogous art Sone, Burgan and McLaughlin each teach a pager that is used display messages. Sone, Burgan and McLaughlin show displaying messages in one of a plurality of formats and displaying other (or additional) messages in a different format. The difference in the display formats is used to convey that the messages have different characteristics. See Sone col. 1 lines 33-36, Burgan Figure 6 and McLaughlin figure 7. This aids the user in knowing the type of message being presented.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have displayed different types of messages in different fashions for displaying the messages being presented in the Bennett system in order to aid the user in knowing the type of message being presented.

2. Claims 3 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bennett and Sone, Burgan and McLaughlin as applied to claims 1 and 10 above, and further in view of Fennell (5430436).

In an analogous art, Fennell shows displaying common messages (equivalent to the already received messages of Bennett) in one format while displaying special messages (equivalent to new messages) in a different format. The difference in the formats is that one includes highlighting. See col. 3 lines 34+. This aids the user in knowing the type of message being presented.

Application/Control Number: 09/244,190

Art Unit: 2635

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used displayed different types of messages in different fashions for displaying the messages being presented in the above modified system in order to aid the user in knowing the type of message being presented.

3. Claims 4-9 and 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bennett and Sone, Burgan and McLaughlin as applied to claims 1 and 10 above, and further in view of Nakajima (4477807).

In an analogous art, Nakajima shows a paging system where the messages are displayed in a sequential order based upon their arrival. See abstract. This allows the use to be aware of the importance of the received messages. The examiner takes official notice that tracking the arrival by time stamps of FIFO memory are both very common manners in the art to track the arrival sequence of messages.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have displayed received messages sequentially in the above-modified system in order to aid the user in determining the importance of the messages.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian A Zimmerman whose telephone number is 703-305-4796. The examiner can normally be reached on Off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Horabik can be reached on 703-305-4704. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

Brian A Zimmerman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2635

BaZ June 18, 2002